## II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k (d).

## III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Christopher Woolsey ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in San Francisco county in the state of California, and is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(h).
- 4. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, Credit Bureau Collection Services, Inc., ("Defendant") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), and a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(f). Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code §1788.2(c).

## IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.

7

14

28

- 6. On at least one occasion, Defendant threatened that failure to pay the alleged debt would result in the seizure and/or garnishment of Plaintiff's wages, where Defendant did not intend to take such action or such action was lawful as there has been no judgment entered against Plaintiff to date.
- 7. On at least one occasion, Defendant falsely represented that failure to pay the alleged debt would result in a legal action against Plaintiff.
- 8. To date, no action has been filed by Defendant against Plaintiff for failure to pay an alleged debt.
- 9. Defendant engaged in conduct was to harass Plaintiff in connection with an attempt to collect an alleged debt, including but not limited to, threatening Plaintiff of a legal action and seizure and/or garnishment of Plaintiff's property, where no such action was intended to be taken.
- Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and the RFDCPA in 10. multiple ways, including but not limited to:
  - a) Falsely representing or implying that nonpayment of Plaintiff's debt would result in the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of Plaintiff's property or wages, where such action is not lawful or Defendant did not intend to take such action (§1692e(4));
  - b) Threatening to take an action against Plaintiff that cannot be legally taken or that was not actually intended to be taken ( $\S1692e(5)$ );

10

8

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

///

///

28

- c) Engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff (§1692d));
- d) Falsely representing that a legal proceeding has been, is about to be, or will be instituted unless payment of a consumer debt is made (Cal Civ Code §1788.13(j)):
- e) Threatening Plaintiff that nonpayment of Plaintiff's debt may result in the arrest of Plaintiff or the seizure, garnishment, attachment or sale of any property or the garnishment or attachment of wages of Plaintiff, where such action was not in fact contemplated by the debt collector and permitted by the law (Cal Civ Code §1788.10(e)); and
- f) Threatening to take an action against Plaintiff that is prohibited by § 1788 of the California Civil Code (Cal Civ Code §1788.10(f)).
- 11. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

# **COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT**

Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs. 12.

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

## **COUNT II: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT**

- Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs. 13.
- Further, §1788.17 of the RFDCPA mandates that every debt 14. collector collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt shall comply with the provisions of Sections 1692b to 1692j, inclusive, of, and shall be subject to the remedies in Section 1692k of, Title 15 of the United States Code statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692d, and §1692d(5).
- To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the 15. RFDCPA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully.

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct A. violated the RFDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations; C.
- Costs and reasonable attorney's fees, D.
- For such other and further relief as may be just and proper. E.

## PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of September, 2011.

By:

Todd M. Friedman (216752)

Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.

369 S. Doheny Dr. #415 Beverly Hills, CA 90211

Phone: 877 206-4741

Fax: 866 633-0228

tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com

**Attorney for Plaintiff**